

Artigo original | Original article

# Body condition of loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta* nesting in Cabo Verde is independent of their reproductive output

Ariete Pina<sup>1</sup>, Samir Martins<sup>1</sup>, Mara Abu-Raya<sup>2</sup> & Adolfo Marco<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> BIOS.CV, Sal Rei, Boavista, Cabo Verde
<sup>2</sup> Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Cabo Verde, Palmarejo, CP 279, Praia, Cabo Verde
<sup>3</sup> Estación Biológica de Doñana, CSIC, Sevilla, Spain

\*Corresponding author e-mail: <u>amarco@ebd.csic.es</u>

## RESUMO

A condição corporal das fêmeas adultas geralmente é um bom indicador da saúde das populações, especialmente importante em espécies ameaçadas. Este parâmetro e a relação deste com o sucesso reprodutor foram estudados na subpopulação Em Perigo da tartaruga-comum *Caretta caretta* do Nordeste Atlântico nidificante em Cabo Verde. O estudo de campo foi realizado durante quatro épocas completas de nidificação entre 2013 e 2016. Um total de 318 fêmeas marcadas foram estudadas. A massa corporal e o comprimento curvo da carapaça variaram de 42–116 kg e 73–99 cm, respectivamente. Apesar do pequeno tamanho corporal e da dieta pelágica de muitos indivíduos, todas as fêmeas apresentavam um índice de condição corporal relativamente elevado, 1,49 10<sup>-4</sup> kg/ cm<sup>3</sup> em média. Foi detectada uma relação fraca e não linear do tamanho corporal na condição corporal (r<sup>2</sup>= 0,18). Adicionalmente, a massa corporal média ao longo da temporada reduziu-se menos que o esperado e 28% das fêmeas aumentaram-na durante o período de nidificação corporal foi semelhante nos diferentes anos, sugerindo que fêmeas com boas condições corporais possam reproduzir-se a cada estação. Mas não houve influência da condição corporal na taxa reprodutora numa determinada estação nidificante, mostrando a necessidade de mais estudos.

Palavras-chave: ciclo de vida, conservação, Nordeste Atlântico, reprodução, massa corporal

#### ABSTRACT

The body condition of adult females is generally a good indicator of the physiological status of populations, especially important in threatened species. This parameter and its relationship with the reproductive output were studied in the Endangered loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* subpopulation of the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. The field study was conducted during four complete nesting seasons between 2013 and 2016. A total of 318 tagged females were studied. The body mass and curved carapace length varied from 42–116 kg and 73–99 cm, respectively. Despite the small body size and the oceanic diet of many individuals, all females had a relatively high body condition index, which averaged 1.49  $10^{-4}$  kg/ cm<sup>3</sup>. There was a weak and non-linear influence of body size on the body condition (r<sup>2</sup>= 0.18). Furthermore, the reduction on mean body mass throughout the season was lower than expected and 28% of the females increased their body mass throughout the nesting period. The body condition was similar along the different years, suggesting that females with high body condition may breed every season. However, there was no influence of body condition in the reproductive output within a given season, showing the need of further studies.

Keywords: conservation, life cycle, Northeast Atlantic, reproduction

## INTRODUCTION

Life history traits are constrained by the allocation of limited resources to multiple processes, generating life history trade-offs (Doughty & Shine 1997, Sinervo & Svensson 1998). Individuals better able to acquire or manage endogenous resources are expected to optimize these trade-offs more efficiently (Doughty & Shine 1997, Sinervo & Svensson 1998). A good external indicator of the energetic efficiency and nutritional status of many individuals is the body condition index, BCI (Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2001, 2005). A significant difference in the BCI among individuals of the same population is associated to changes in fitness in different vertebrates, including mammals (Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2001, 2005), amphibians (Lowe et al. 2006), birds (O'Dwyer et al. 2006) and reptiles (Willemsen & Hailey 2002, Litzgus et al. 2008). A wild animal with a good BCI reflects a better energetic status (Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2005). Furthermore, body condition is positively correlated with fecundity because energetic reserves limit the amount of energy that can be allocated to reproduction and can provide valuable information about fitness and health. This

information can be especially relevant for threatened populations in order to identify priorities to improve conservation actions (Schulte-Hostedde *et al.* 2005).

Sea turtles can be sensitive to significant decrease of their body condition because they are highly migratory and do not reproduce every year (Bjorndal *et al.* 2003). Females concentrate their reproduction in specific years followed by non-nesting years, thus reducing the number of breeding migrations between their distant nesting and feeding grounds (Bjorndal *et al.* 2000, Marco *et al.* 2011).

loggerhead Although the turtle is considered Vulnerable as a species by the (Casale & Tucker 2017), IUCN the subpopulation reproducing in Cabo Verde is considered Endangered (Casale & Marco 2015) and one of the most threatened subpopulations in the world and the second most threatened in the Atlantic (Wallace et al. 2011). Additionally, the existence in this subpopulation of three different trophic phenotypes, including one type with a poor oceanic diet (Eder et al. 2012, Cardona et al. 2017, Cameron et al. 2019), makes it very interesting to determine the influence of the type and quality of diet and trophic habitat in the body condition and reproductive output.

The main goal of the present study was to evaluate the body condition of nesting females

of the Northeast Atlantic subpopulation of loggerhead turtles in order to estimate their physiological status and the relationship with their reproductive output.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the Northeastern Atlantic, on Boavista Island, Cabo Verde Archipelago (16°01'N; 22°44'W). Experienced researchers daily conducted nocturnal patrols on the southeastern beaches of João Barrosa (Turtle Nature Reserve) during four consecutive nesting seasons (from mid-June to mid-October, from 2013 to 2016). We identified and studied 318 females tagged with passive integrated transponders. Eighteen of these were studied in two different occasions during the same year. All selected females were retained in situ on the beach after nesting and weighted with a digital balance (PCE-ES300) with an accuracy of 0.05 kg. Sand was carefully removed from the carapaces to reduce bias in the body mass (BM) measures. Each female was wrapped in a sand-free net square (1.5 x 1.5 m), hooked to a balance and hung using a 2-meter carbon fibre bar supported horizontally by at least two field assistants. All the weighting process took less than 5 min per female without apparent damage to the turtles. The curved carapace length from the nuchal notch to the posterior notch (CCL) was measured with a plastic tape ( $\pm 0.5$  cm).

The clutch size was counted in 206 egg masses during oviposition, and 96 of these were carefully extracted from the nest chamber immediately after egg laying. The eggs were carefully weighed within a fabric bag. The methodology of the relocation and study of eggs, incubation, and hatchlings was conducted following Marco et al. (2012b).

There are several methods to estimate BCI in sea turtles (Stevenson & Woods 2006, Li et al. 2015). Since we are studying an Endangered population, we selected a less invasive method than tissue/ blood collection based on the measurement of body length and mass (Green 2001), the Fulton's condition factor (Bolger & Conolly, 1989). We chose this BCI because of its simplicity and historical precedence (Stevenson & Woods 2006) and its previous use in sea turtles (Bjorndal et al. 2000, Seminoff et al. 2003). Several studies have correlated BCI with biochemical and physiological parameters (Barco et al. 2016, Stacy et al. 2018).

The BCI was calculated using Bjorndal et al. (2000)'s formula: BCI= (BM x 10000)/ SCL<sup>3</sup> (kg/ cm<sup>3</sup>). Values of CCL were converted in straight carapace length (SCL) using a sample of 1067 females from Boavista, from whose both measurements (CCL and SCL) were taken, and the equation:  $SCL = 0.8456 \times CCL +$ 5.6372 (Varo-Cruz et al., 2007). For repeated measures, we used mean values of each variable in the population analysis. For each nest, we calculated a mean value for all used variables of eggs and hatchlings. We calculated descriptive statistics for all parameters. We compared pairs of continuous variables using Pearson correlation. We evaluated seasonal variation among years and fortnights using General Linear Model.

#### RESULTS

The mean BM of the loggerhead females was 63.3 kg and their mean CCL was 82.1 cm (Table 1). There was a strong correlation

between BM and CCL ( $r^2 = 0.80$ , p < 0.0001, Fig. 1A). The correlation between SCL and CCL in the females were both measurements

were taken was statistically significant ( $r^2=0.90$ , p<0.0001). Over 99% of females had BCI larger than 1.2 and less than 22% of females had a BCI lower than 1.4. There was a weak though significant negative linear

relationship between BCI and CCL ( $r^2=0.05$ , p<0.0001), and a significant and stronger nonlinear correlation ( $r^2=0.18$ , p<0.0001), with medium-sized females presenting lower BCI than large and small ones (Fig. 1B).

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of the different parameters measured to females, clutches, eggs and hatchlings of the studied loggerhead turtle population. Mean values of eggs and hatchlings were calculated using mean values of each clutch. For females with several measurements, the mean value is provided. N stands for sample size, SD for standard deviation, Min for minimum, Max for maximum, BM for body mass, BCI for body condition index, and CCL/ SCL for curved/ straight carapace length, respectively.

	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Female CCL (cm)	315	82.14	4.70	73.0	99.0
Female BM (kg)	316	63.30	11.31	42.00	116.00
BCI (kg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	315	1.49	0.13	1.16	1.99
Clutch size	195	82.4	17.0	22	138
Clutch mass (kg)	96	3.48	1.24	1.45	12.80
Egg diameter (mm)	80	38.92	2.19	32.64	42.79
Egg mass (g)	81	33.02	6.74	20.69	43.85
Hatchling SCL (mm)	58	42.62	1.26	39.53	45.79
Hatchling BM (g)	58	17.51	1.95	11.59	24.12



**Fig. 1.** Health status of loggerhead turtles nesting in Cabo Verde. **A**) Relationship between curved carapace length and body mass **B**) and body condition index. Values refer to four nesting seasons (2013–2016).

The mean annual BM varied from 60.8 to 69.3 kg (Table 2) and varied among years (ANOVA:  $F_{(3,335)}=7.589$ , p<0.001), but there was no significant difference in mean BCI among years (ANOVA:  $F_{(3,333)}=1.501$ , p=0.214). Thus, data from the four years were analysed together. There were significant

differences in mean BM (ANOVA:  $F_{(7,333)}$ = 2.527, *p*= 0.015) and BCI (ANOVA:  $F_{(5,323)}$ = 3.070, *p*= 0.010) throughout the nesting season. BM varied from 67.3 kg in the first half of July to 59.1 kg in the second half of September. Mean BCI varied from 1.55 kg/ cm<sup>3</sup> in the first half of July to 1.46 kg/ cm<sup>3</sup> in

the second half of September (Fig. 2). The mean individual BM loss per 15 days was  $0.53\pm0.33$  kg, varying from -8.91 to 6.16, but

27.8 % of the females gained mass throughout the season.

Table 2. Body mass (BM) and curved carapace length (CCL) of loggerhead turtles from Cabo Verde in th
four study years. N stands for sample size, SD for standard deviation.

2013	2014	2015	2016
			-510
27	57	89	146
69.31	63.30	66.47	60.78
12.52	11.35	13.49	32.04
43.50	48.25	46.95	42.00
102.00	105.65	116.00	111.50
84.36	76.89	77.82	76.24
	27 69.31 12.52 43.50 102.00 84.36	27       57         69.31       63.30         12.52       11.35         43.50       48.25         102.00       105.65         84.36       76.89	27         57         89           69.31         63.30         66.47           12.52         11.35         13.49           43.50         48.25         46.95           102.00         105.65         116.00           84.36         76.89         77.82



**Fig. 2.** Seasonal variation of the mean BCI index of loggerhead nesting in Cabo Verde. Each mean value of BCI correspond to data from the four study nesting seasons (2013–2016). The vertical bars indicate the standard deviation and the numbers over each bar correspond to the sample size.

The correlations between BCI and clutch size ( $r^2 = 0.01$ , p = 0.208, N= 206), egg mass ( $r^2 = 0.00$ , p = 0.554, N= 83) and hatchling mass

 $(r^2=0.01, p=0.512)$  were not significant (raw data available at <u>https://figshare.com/</u> articles/Pina et al ZC raw data/12424343).

#### DISCUSSION

All females of the studied loggerhead turtle population of Boavista showed relatively high values for the BCI (e.g. Bjorndal et al. 2000, Seminoff et al. 2003, Barco et al. 2016, Stacy et al. 2018). These results suggest that nesting females have no nutritional problems and no difficulties to find food in their feeding grounds. Perhaps only adult females with large fat reserves migrate to Cabo Verde for nesting (Jessop et al. 2004). Females that do not get an optimal BCI would not begin the vitellogenesis process and would delay their reproduction remaining on their feeding grounds. On the other hand, adult females with poor BCI that try to nest could die during migration or immediately after the arrival to their nesting beaches. Several impacts threatening adult turtles in Cabo Verde, such as fishery bycatch (Coelho et al. 2015) or poaching on the beach (Marco et al. 2011, 2012a) may especially affect females with lower body condition. Moreover, we found no interannual variability in mean BCI of adult females. This result that potential environmental suggests differences among years in food availability to sea turtles could be affecting the mean BCI in the feeding ground and the percentage of females breeding in the next nesting season, but not the BCI of nesting females. Alternatively, the BCI could be a bad estimator of the physiological or health status of sea turtles (e.g. Flint et al. 2010). It would be very interesting to evaluate the variability of BCI of adults in feeding grounds to untangle this.

In oceanic females (< 85 cm CCL, Eder *et al.* 2012) there is a decrease of BCI with body length. Perhaps there is an ageing effect on the ability to maintain a good condition. However, neritic females (> 85 cm) have a better condition than large oceanic females. Heavier females have a larger reproductive output (Eder *et al.* 2012). However, body length only explained 4.8 % of variation in BCI. In this population, larger females have longer migration routes to rich neritic habitats, while smaller females feed in oceanic habitats

between Cabo Verde and the continental African coast (Hawkes et al. 2006, Eder et al. 2012, Cameron et al. 2019). Migration has a significant energetic cost together with a higher risk and time cost (Bonte et al. 2012). Longer reproductive migrations for neritic females could consume higher amounts of energy counterbalancing the benefits of their better diet, explaining the hump-shaped curve in our results. Moreover, the remigration intervals could be also different as a function of body size. Larger females feeding in neritic habitats (Eder et al. 2012, Cardona et al. 2017, Cameron et al. 2019) could remigrate earlier having less time to store fat reserves, and thus, having similar body condition than smaller females with a poorer diet who could remain more years in the feeding grounds between consecutive breeding migrations.

The decline in BCI throughout the nesting season is likely caused by the successive egg depositions associated with a lack of feeding of females in the mating and nesting grounds (Hays et al. 2002, Santos et al. 2010, Vieira et al. 2014). Furthermore, their strong effort to come out to the beach, crawl on the sand, dig, and camouflage their nests involves a high energy and fat consumption. Vieira et al. (2014) showed that nesting females on Boavista in the beginning of the nesting season exhibited higher nucleic acid concentrations and better physiological condition than those sampled at the end of the season. This would explain why many females loose mass throughout the season. However, BM and BCI of some females are similar or even experiment an increase throughout the nesting season. These individual differences suggest the existence of different feeding strategies during the nesting season that should be explored. Moreover, the difference in mean BM across the nesting season corresponds to the mean mass of 2.4 nests of this population, but each female is laying an average of five nests per season in Cabo Verde (Varo-Cruz et al. 2007). A significant part of this difference in mass

could correspond to water added to the eggs by the females. However, the possibility of females feeding during the internesting periods may also explain this change.

# CONCLUDING REMARKS

All adult females in the Endangered Northeast Atlantic loggerhead turtle subpopulation presented relatively high BCI despite the poor feeding habitat. The reduction on mean BM throughout the season was much lower than expected. Body condition was similar across years and with no influence in the reproductive output within a given season. It would very interesting evaluating the relation between BCI and the survival and remigration of adult females. We also emphasize in the need of better indexes to determine the health status of turtle populations, as these are often unrelated to the clinical status of individuals (e.g. Flint *et al.*, 2010).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank to the National Directorate of Environment of Cabo Verde, the Boavista municipal chamber and Protected Areas Office for their help and authorization to conduct this study. We are grateful to the NGO BIOS.CV and its staff and volunteers for their support.

#### REFERENCES

- Barco, S., Law, M., Drummond, B., Koopman, H., Trapani, C., Reinheimer, S., Rose, S., Swingle, W.M. & Williard, A. (2016) Loggerhead turtles killed by vessel and fishery interaction in Virginia, USA, are healthy prior to death. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 555, 221–234.
- Bjorndal, K.A., Bolten, A.B. & Chaloupka, M.Y. (2000) Green turtle somatic growth model: evidence for density dependence. *Ecological Applications*, 10, 269–282.
- Bjorndal, K.A., Bolten, A.B. & Chaloupka, M.Y. (2003) Survival probability estimates for immature green turtles, *Chelonia mydas*, in the Bahamas. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 252, 273–281.
- Bolger, T. & Connolly, P.L. (1989) The selection of suitable indices for the measurement and analysis of fish condition. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 34, 171–82.
- Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J.M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M. & Schtickzelle, N. (2012) Costs of dispersal. *Biological Reviews*, 87, 290–312.
- Cameron, S.J.K., Baltazar, M., Victor, S., Reischig, T., Correia, S. M., Harrod, C. & Eizaguirre, C. (2019). Diversity of feeding strategies in

loggerhead sea turtles from the Cape Verde archipelago. *Marine Biology*, 166–130.

- Cardona, L., Martins, S., Uterga, R. & Marco, A. (2017) Individual specialization and behavioral plasticity in a long-lived marine predator. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 497, 127–133.
- Casale, P. & Marco, A. (2015) *Caretta caretta* (North East Atlantic subpopulation). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T83776383A83776554.
- Casale, P. & Tucker, A.D. (2017) *Caretta caretta* (amended version of 2015 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T3897A119333622.
- Coelho, R., Santos, M.N., Fernandez-Carvalho, J. & Amorim, S. (2015) Effects of hook and bait in a tropical northeast Atlantic pelagic longline fishery: Part I — Incidental sea turtle bycatch. *Fisheries Research*, 164, 302–311.
- Doughty, P. & Shine, R. (1997) Detecting life history trade-offs: measuring energy stores in "capital" breeders reveals costs of reproduction. *Oecologia*, 110, 508–513.
- Eder, E., Ceballos, A., Martins, S., Pérez-García, H., Marín, I., Marco, A. & Cardona, L. (2012)

Foraging dichotomy in loggerhead sea turtles *Caretta caretta* off northwestern Africa. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 470, 113–122.

- Flint, M., Morton, J.M., Limpus, C.J., Patterson-Kane, J.C. & Mills, P.C. (2010) Reference intervals for plasma biochemical and hematologic measures in loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from Moreton Bay, Australia. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 46, 731–741.
- Green, A.J. (2001) Mass/length residuals: measures of body condition or generators of spurious results. *Ecology*, 82, 1473–1483.
- Hawkes, L.A., Broderick, A.C., Coyne, M.S., Godfrey, M.H., López-Jurado, L.F., López-Suarez, P., Merino, S.E., Varo-Cruz, N. & Godley, B.J. (2006) Phenotypically linked dichotomy in sea turtle foraging requires multiple conservation approaches. *Current Biology*, 16, 990–995.
- Hays, G.C., Broderick, A.C., Glen, F. & Godley, B.J. (2002) Change in body mass associated with long-term fasting in a marine reptile: the case of green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) at Ascension Island. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 80, 1299–1302.
- Jessop, T.S., Hamann, M. & Limpus, C.J. (2004) Body condition and physiological changes in male green turtles during breeding. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 276, 281–288.
- Li, T.H., Chang, C.C., Cheng, I.J. & Lin, S.C. (2015) Development of a summarized health index (SHI) for use in predicting survival in sea turtles. *PloS One*, 10, e0120796.
- Litzgus, J.D., Bolton, F. & Schulte-Hostedde, A.I. (2008) Reproductive output depends on body condition in spotted turtles (*Clemmys guttata*). *Copeia*, 2008, 86–92.
- Lowe, W.H., Likens, G.E. & Cosentino, B.J. (2006) Self-organisation in streams: the relationship between movement behaviour and body condition in a headwater salamander. *Freshwater Biology*, 51, 2052–2062.
- Marco, A., Abella-Pérez, E., Líria-Loza, A., Martins, S., López, O., Jiménez-Bordon, S., Medina, M., Oujo, C., Gaona, P., Godley, B.J. & López-Jurado L.F. (2012a) Abundance and exploitation of loggerhead turtles nesting in Boavista Island, Cape Verde: the only substantial rookery in the Eastern Atlantic. *Animal Conservation*, 15, 351–360.
- Marco, A., Abella-Pérez, E., Líria-Loza, A., Martins, S., Loureiro N.S. & López-Jurado, L.F. (2012b) Manual para monitorização de

tartarugas marinhas nas Ilhas de Cabo Verde. Zoologia Caboverdiana, 3, 24–47.

- Marco, A., Abella-Pérez, E., Monzón-Arguello, C., Martins, S., Araújo, S. & López-Jurado, L.F. (2011) The international importance of the Archipelago of Cape Verde for marine turtles, in particular the loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta*. *Zoologia Caboverdiana*, 2, 1–11.
- O'Dwyer, T.W., Buttemer, W.A., Priddel, D.M. & Downing, J.A. (2006) Prolactin, body condition and the cost of good parenting: an interyear study in a long-lived seabird, Gould's Petrel (*Pterodroma leucoptera*). *Functional Ecology*, 20, 806–811.
- Santos, A.J., Freire, E.M., Bellini, C. & Corso, G. (2010) Body mass and the energy budget of gravid hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) during the nesting season. *Journal of Herpetology*, 44, 352–360.
- Schulte-Hostedde, A.I., Millar, J.S. & Hickling, G.J. (2001) Evaluating body condition in small mammals. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 79, 1021–1029.
- Schulte-Hostedde, A.I., Zinner, B., Millar, J.S. & Hickling, G.J. (2005) Restitution of mass–size residuals: validating body condition indices. *Ecology*, 86, 155–163.
- Seminoff, J. A., Jones, T. T., Resendiz, A., Nichols, W.J. & Chaloupka, M.Y. (2003) Monitoring green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) at a coastal foraging area in Baja California, Mexico: multiple indices to describe population status. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 83, 1355–1362.
- Sinervo, B. & Svensson, E. (1998) Mechanistic and selective causes of life history trade-offs and plasticity. *Okos*, 83, 432–442.
- Stacy, N. I., Lynch, J. M., Arendt, M. D., Avens, L., McNeill, J. B., Cray, C., Day, R.D., Lee, A.M., Peden-Adams, M.M., Thorvalson, K., Segars, L. & Norton, T.M. (2018) Chronic debilitation in stranded loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the southeastern United States: Morphometrics and clinicopathological findings. *PloS One*, 13, e0200355.
- Stevenson, R.D. & Woods Jr, W.A. (2006) Condition indices for conservation: new uses for evolving tools. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 46, 1169–1190.
- Varo-Cruz, N., Cejudo, D. & López-Jurado, L.F. (2007) Reproductive biology of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta* L. 1758) on the island of Boavista (Cape Verde, West Africa). *In*: López-

Jurado, L.F. & Liria-Loza, A. (Eds), *Marine Turtles. Recovery of Extinct Populations.* Monografías del Instituto Canario de Ciencias Marinas, Las Palmas, Spain, pp. 127–144.

- Vieira, S., Martins, S., Hawkes, L. A., Marco, A. & Teodósio, M.A. (2014) Biochemical indices and life traits of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from Cape Verde Islands. *PLoS One*, 9,e112181.
- Wallace, B.P., DiMatteo, A. D., Hurley, B.J., Finkbeiner, E. M., Bolten, A.B., Chaloupka, M.Y., Hutchinson, B.J., Abreu-Grobois, F.A., Amorocho, D., Bjorndal, K.A., Bourjea, J., Bowen, B.W., Briseño-Dueñas, R., Casale, P.,

Choudhury, B.C., Costa, A., Dutton, P.H., Fallabrino, A., Girard, A., Girondot, M., Godfrey, M.H., Hamann, M., López-Mendilaharsu, M., Marcovaldi, M.A., Mortimer, J.A., Musick, J.A., Nel, R., Pilcher, N.J., Seminoff, J.A., Troëng, S., Witherington, B. & Mast, R.B. (2011) Global conservation priorities for marine turtles. *PLoS One*, 6, e24510.

Willemsen, R.E. & Hailey, A. (2002) Body mass condition in Greek tortoises: regional and interspecific variation. *Herpetological Journal*, 12, 105–114.

> Received 20 January 2020 Accepted 16 June 2020